

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****AUG 10 2006**

P24639.A06

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present Response, claims 7-9, 15, 16, 18-20, 24, 25 and 27 will have been amended to correct informalities in the claim language and to more clearly define the claimed subject matter. However, Applicants submit that the amendment should not be construed as admissions to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection.

Also, Claim 29 will have been added to recite subject matter disclosed in the present Application and to afford Applicant to scope of protection to which they are entitled. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments and the new claim contained in this Response are in conformance with the originally filed disclosure and do not constitute new matter.

Further, Claims 1-6 will have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 7-29 are pending for examination in the present application.

Initially, Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indicated allowance of the subject matter recited in claim 24.

In the Official Action mailed May 10, 2006, the Examiner made the Restriction Requirement final. Although Applicants elected the invention of Group I, as characterized by the Examiner, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement and request reconsideration and withdrawal of the Requirement.

In the Restriction Requirement mailed January 10, 2006, the Examiner stated that the combination as recited in Group I did not require the subcombination as recited Group II. However, at least claim 13 recites the element contained in claim 1. Therefore, the Examiner's Restriction Requirement was improper and Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the Restriction Requirement.

P24639.A06

In the Official Action mailed May 10, 2006, the Examiner rejected claims 7-23 and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 7-23 and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. In this regard, upon entry of the present Response, Applicants will have amended claims 7 and 24 to correct informalities in the claim language and to more clearly define the claimed subject matter. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7-23 and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

AUG 10 2006

P24639.A06

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that Examiner's rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph in the Office Action dated May 10, 2006, should be withdrawn. The present Response is in proper form, and none of the references teach or suggest Applicants' claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request timely allowance of the present application.

Applicants note that this Response is being made to advance prosecution of the application to allowance, and no acquiescence as to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection is made by the present Response.


Amendments to the claims and the addition of a new claim which have been made in this Response should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

The undersigned hereby authorizes the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to charge any fees necessary to maintain the pendency of the above-identified application, including any basic filing fees, application size fees, search fees, examination fees, extension of time fees, and claim fees, to Deposit Account No. 19-0089.

Should there be any questions regarding this paper or the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

August 10, 2006  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 716-1191

Respectfully submitted,  
Jong Han PARK et al.

  
Bruce H. Bernstein  
Reg. No. 29,027

William Pieprz  
Reg. No. 33,630